

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,198	12/27/2000	Anil Vasudevan	042390.P9018	7014

7590

09/14/2004

R. Alan Burnett
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
7th Floor
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER

HUYNH, KIM T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2112

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/750,198

Applicant(s)

VASUDEVAN, ANIL

Examiner

Kim T. Huynh

Art Unit

2112

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 May 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papa et al. (US Patent 6,324,608) in view of Eide et al. (US Patent 6,529,978)

As per claim 1, Papa discloses an apparatus comprising:

- A housing; (fig.1, 101)
- a mainboard (fig.2, 182) including memory (fig.2, 204) and a first processor mounted within the housing; (col.3, lines 61-col.4, line 37)
- a first network interface disposed within the housing and operatively coupled to the first processor, having a first network port (slot) and a first address; (col.4, line 66-col.5, line 10), wherein multiple slots for multiple devices implies different slots per different address)
- at least one expansion slot for receiving a peripheral device; and (col.4, line 66-col.5, line 10)
- a network communications link connecting the first network interface to said at least one expansion slot substantially disposed within the housing; (col.4, line 66-col.5, line 35)

- wherein the first processor is enabled to communicate with a peripheral device adapted to be coupled within the housing to one of said at least one expansion slot and having a built-in network interface by transmitting data via the first network interface and the built-in network interface over the network communications link using a network communications on a network transmission protocol. (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65),

Papa discloses all the limitations as above except using packetized messages based on a network transmission protocol. However, Eide discloses any number of hardware devices coupled to I/O interface 16, an interface to a network 22 to provide communications capability using any number of network protocols (e.g IPX, TCP/IP, SNA, etc.), wherein TCP/IP implies packetized messages. (col.5, lines 10-25), (col.8, lines 1-50)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Eide's teaching into Papa's system so as to have a significant need exists in the art for a manner of changing the bindings between IOA's and IOP's in a hierarchical I/O interface with minimal impact on system availability. (col.2, lines 36-40)

As per claim 2, Papa discloses the apparatus further comprising a second network interface disposed on the mainboard in proximity to one of said at least one expansion slot having a second address and a second network port to enable communication between the first processor and a peripheral device that

does not include a built-in network interface when the peripheral device is mounted in the one of said at least one expansion slots. (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

As per claim 3, Papa discloses wherein the network communications link comprises a network bus embedded in the mainboard. (col.5, lines 21-35), (col.7, lines 7-67)

As per claims 4, 7, Papa discloses wherein the first network interface and the communications link comprise an Ethernet subnet. (col.2, lines 5-24), (col.3, lines 2-10)

As per claim 5, Papa discloses the apparatus further comprising:

- a second processor coupled to the mainboard; and (fig.2, 200s) (col.4, lines 18-37)
- a second network interface operatively coupled to the second processor and the network communications link to enable communication between the second processor and a peripheral device having a built-in network interface when the peripheral device is mounted in one of said at least one expansion slots. (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

As per claim 6, Papa discloses a system comprising:

- A housing; and (fig.1, 101)
- A mainboard disposed within the housing to which memory and a first processor are connected, said mainboard providing a first network

interface operatively coupled to the first processor having a first network port and a first address; (col.4, line 66-col.5, line 10)

- A first peripheral disposed within the housing; (col.5, lines 22-col.6, line 65)
- A second network interface operatively coupled to the mainboard, providing a second network port and a second network address, the second network interface linked in communication with the first peripheral device; and (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)
- A communications link between the first and second network interfaces substantially disposed within the housing. (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

Papa discloses all the limitations as above except using packetized messages based on a network transmission protocol to provide communication between the first processor and the first peripheral device. However, Eide discloses any number of hardware devices coupled to I/O interface 16, an interface to a network 22 to provide communications capability using any number of network protocols (e.g IPX, TCP/IP, SNA, etc.), wherein TCP/IP implies packetized messages. (col.5, lines 10-25), (col.8, lines 1-50)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Eide's teaching into Papa's system so as to have a significant need exists in the art for a manner of changing the bindings between IOA's and IOP's in a hierarchical I/O interface with minimal impact on system availability. (col.2, lines 36-40)

As per claim 8, Papa discloses wherein the communication link comprises a network signal bus built into the mainboard. (col.5, lines 22-35)

As per claim 9, Papa discloses wherein the communications link comprises a token ring. (col.2, lines 5-24)

As per claim 10, Papa discloses wherein the second network interface is built into the first peripheral device; (col.3,lines 1-10), wherein interface inherently built-in into peripheral device to provide communication)

As per claim 11, Papa discloses wherein the second network interface is built into the mainboard. (col.3, lines 1-10)

As per claim 12, Papa discloses wherein the peripheral device comprises one of a video subsystem, a memory subsystem, a disk controller and a modem. (col. 4, lines 1-7)

As per claim 13, Papa discloses wherein the mainboard further includes a second processor connected to a third network interface having a third network address and network port connected to the communication link. (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

As per claims 14, 15, 17, Papa discloses a method for enabling communication between a peripheral device disposed within a computing machine having a processor and an application running on the processor, comprising:

- providing a network interface for each of the processor and the peripheral device; (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

- providing a communication link between the network interfaces of the processor and the peripheral device;(col.3, lines 1-10), (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)
- creating a network socket for each of the processor and the peripheral device; (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)
- stabling a connection between the processor and the peripheral device; and (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)
- generating messages with the application; (col.1, line 64-col.23)
- transferring the messages between the processor and peripheral device using a network transmission protocol. (col.1, line 64-col.23)

Papa discloses all the limitations as above except using packetized messages based on a network transmission protocol. However, Eide discloses any number of hardware devices coupled to I/O interface 16, an interface to a network 22 t provide communications capability using any number of network protocols (e.g IPX, TCP/IP, SNA, etc.), wherein TCP/IP inherently implies packetized messages. (col.5, lines 10-25) , (col.8, lines 1-50)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Eide's teaching into Papa's system so as to have a significant need exists in the art for a manner of changing the bindings between IOA's and IOP's in a hierarchical I/O interface with minimal impact on system availability. (col.2, lines 36-40)

As per claim 16, Papa discloses the method further comprising applying security measures to determine if an application may connect to a particular peripheral device. (col.1, lines 64-67)

As per claim 18, Papa discloses wherein the communications link and the network interfaces comprise an internal Ethernet network. (col.2, lines 5-24)

As per claim 19, Papa discloses wherein the communications link and the network interfaces comprises an internal token ring network. (col.2, lines 5-24)

As per claim 20, Papa discloses the system further comprising:

A storage device on which software is stored, the software comprising machine instructions that are executable by the first processor that includes a socket application interface (API) that binds the address of the first peripheral device to the second network port and a network interface abstraction layer that provides an abstracted interface that enables an application to communicate with the first peripheral device using a networking protocol. (col.3, lines 1-10), (col.3, line 53-col.4, line55)

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's amendment filed on 5/28/04 have been fully considered but not place an application in condition for allowance.

a. In response to applicant's argument that the combination of Papa and Eide fails to teach or suggest peripheral devices communicate with processor 12 using packetized messages. Examiner respectfully disagrees. As Eide notes at figures 1 and 2, Examiner further cited for clarification, any number of hardware devices coupled to

I/O interface 16. Network 22, ie of IOP at slot 1 communicate via system I/O bus 18 to processor 12, provides communications capability using any network protocols (e.g IPX, TCP/IP, SNA, etc.) wherein TCP/IP implies packetized messages. (col.5, lines 10-25). Furthermore, External communication with apparatus 10 is handled through an input/output (I/O) interface 16 including a system I/O bus 18 including a plurality of locations 20 (slots) which provides an interface with various hardware peripheral devices 22-30. Each location 20 on bus 18 is configured to receive an input/output process (IOP) or input/output adaptor (IOA). (col.4, lines 34-47). A plurality of software components that implement (packetized) the dynamic binding capability of I/O interface 16. The bus notifies IOP to take ownership of IOA and sends a command(packetized messages) to the hardware driver associated with IOP to indicate the acquisition of IOA. IOA assignment based upon a command line-type (pakcetized messages) interface as supported by the computer. (col.8, lines 1-50)

Thus, the prior art teaches the invention as claimed and the claims do not distinguish over the prior art as applied.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


5. *Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (571)272-3635 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM- 6:00PM.*

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571)272-3632 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov]. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

Kim Huynh

September 13, 2004


MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100